

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

05/28/2004 12:55 5307503793

MAY 2 DANIEL MAGUIRE

PAGE 01

OFFICIAL

PTO/SB/21 (02-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL
FORM

(To be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

11

Application Number	09/574,714
Filing Date	12/19/2000
First Named Inventor	Bjarke de Jager Gottfredsen
Art Unit	2878
Examiner Name	Jamara Franklin
Attorney Docket Number	105.01

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application		
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	Daniel P. Maguire		
Signature	<i>Daniel Maguire</i>		
Date	May 28, 2004		

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	Daniel P. Maguire		
Signature	<i>Daniel Maguire</i>	Date	May 28, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.6. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

faxed to 703 872 9306

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 28 2004

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of Bjarke de Jager Gotfredsen)

Application No.: 09/674,714)

Filed: December 19, 2000)

For: Mouse Pad Comprising a Card
Read/Write Device)

Date: May 28, 2004

Group Art Unit: 2876

Examiner: Jamara Franklin

Attorney Ref. No.: 105.01

OFFICIAL

*After Final Amendments to Place Claims in Better Form For
Consideration on Appeal*

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir or Madam:

In response to the office action mailed April 6, 2004, the applicant respectfully submits the following amendments to place the claims in better form for consideration on appeal. The applicant files these amendments under MPEP 714.12 and 37 CFR § 1.116.

Remarks

The present amendments restore the claims to their form before the previous office action response. In that response, the applicant specifically and repeatedly stated that the proffered amendments were *conditional*, and should only be entered if they placed the claims in condition for allowance. The applicant made entry of the amendments conditional because the examiner had indicated that the amendments would put the claims in condition for allowance, but previous experience made the applicant reluctant to rely on this assurance.

Despite the express direction of the applicant, the examiner entered the amendments, but then rejected all the claims. The examiner stated that no provision of the MPEP allows conditional amendments, but neither does any portion of the MPEP forbid such amendments, and such amendments should be allowed for reasons of economy. Without conditional amendments, applicants are forced to follow the time-wasting procedure that the present applicant is pursuing: the filing of an amendment, and then the filing of an un-amendment after the amendment fails to result in allowance.

After Final Amendments to Place Claims in Better Form For Consideration on Appeal
Ser. No.: 09/674,714
May 28, 2004

Therefore, the applicant respectfully requests entry of the following amendments, which rescind most¹ of the amendments made in the previous office action response. These new amendments place the rejected claims in better form for consideration on appeal, because they overcome the examiner's § 112 rejections.

¹ The applicant has not rescinded the previous amendment changing "connected" to "coupled" in many of the claims.